	<u></u>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Madia a A A Harrat III.	10/785,172	SMAGLINSKI, INGO	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michelle R. Connelly-Cushwa	2874	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearance All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included n will be mailed in due cour	se THIS
1. This communication is responsive to Applicant's Amendme	ent filed May 25, 2005.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 32-37.			
3. The drawings filed on 24 February 2004 and 25 March 200	24 are accepted by the Examiner.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	been received. been received in Application No. <u>0</u>		from the
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	of this communication to file a reply IENT of this application.	complying with the require	ments
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminformal PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	itted. Note the attached EXAMINER es reason(s) why the oath or declara	'S AMENDMENT or NOTIC	CE OF
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers		-948) attached	
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date	•		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in the C	Office action of	
identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t	.84(c)) should be written on the drawi he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(ngs in the front (not the bacl d).	k) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo- attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL I FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGIC	must be submitted. Note AL MATERIAL.	the
Attachment(s)		·	
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Patent Application (PTO-15	2)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. Interview Summary Paper No /Mail Da	(PTO-413), te	
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 0304	•		
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit		ent of Reasons for Allowan	ce
of Biological Material	9. Other		

Application/Control Number: 10/785,172

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with John J. Simkanich on June 24, 2005.

The application has been amended as follows:

Claims 17 and 29-31 have been cancelled.

Claim 32, line 7, --, the positives—has been inserted after "negatives":

Claim 32, line 10, "whereof" has been changed to -, wherein--;

Claim 34, line 6, "whereof" has been changed to -wherein--:

Claim 37, line 1, --, -- has been inserted after "fabricating step";

Claim 37, line 2, --, -- has been inserted after "performed"; and

Claim 37, line 3, --is performed—has been inserted after "polishing".

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

I. Claims 17 and 29-31, drawn to a method of manufacturing an optical modifier in which the coupling devices are formed by one of the steps of turning, milling and polishing, classified in class 385, subclass 15.

II. Claims 32-37, drawn to a method of manufacturing an optical modifier in which the coupling devices are formed by molding, classified in class 385, subclass 15.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Art Unit: 2874

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant's election of claims 33-37 in the reply filed on May 25, 2005 to the telephone restriction is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

This application is in condition for allowance except for the presence of claims 17 and 29-31 to a species non-elected without traverse. Accordingly, claims 17 and 29-31 have been cancelled.

Response to Applicant's Amendment

Applicant's Amendment filed May 25, 2005 has been fully considered and entered.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file of U.S. Application No. 09/947,088.

Information Disclosure Statement

Application/Control Number: 10/785,172

Art Unit: 2874

The prior art documents submitted by applicant in the Information Disclosure Statement filed on March 29, 2004 have all been considered and made of record (note the attached copy of form PTO-1449).

Drawings

Seventeen (17) sheets of formal drawings (Figures 1-21) were filed on February 24, 2004 and have been accepted by the Examiner.

Three (3) sheets of formal drawings (Figures 22-24) were filed on March 25, 2004 and have been accepted by the Examiner.

Specification

Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 32-37 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art cited on attached form PTO-892 and on the Information Disclosure Statement filed March 29, 2004 is the most relevant prior art known. However, the invention of claims 32-37 distinguishes over the prior art of record for the following reasons.

Regarding claims 32-37; the claims are allowable over the prior art of record because none of the references either alone or in combination disclose or render obvious a method of manufacturing an optical modifier as defined in claim 32, comprising fabricating at least one negative being a mold with a surface formed as part of a surface of revolution with a section of a cone as the generating curve of the

Application/Control Number: 10/785,172

Art Unit: 2874

envelope; and molding at least two positives from the negatives, the positives being the

Page 6

coupling devices in combination with the other limitations of claim 32. Claims 33-37

depend from claim 32.

Hence, there is no reason or motivation for one of ordinary skill in the art to use

the prior art of record to make the invention of claims 32-37.

Conclusion

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later

than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on

Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning the merits of this communication should be directed to

Examiner Michelle R. Connelly-Cushwa at telephone number (571) 272-2345. The

examiner can normally be reached 9:00 AM to 7:00 PM, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rodney B. Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general or clerical nature should be directed to the Technology

Center 2800 receptionist at telephone number (571) 272-1562.

Michelle R. Connelly-Cushwa

Michelle R. Connelly-Cushwa

Patent Examiner

June 24, 2005